

CS 230  
Programming Languages

12 / 05 / 2022

Instructor: Michael Eckmann

# Today's Topics

- Questions? / Comments?
- More Prolog (Logic Programming Language)

# Logical connectors

Name	Symbol	Example	Meaning
negation	$\neg$	$\neg a$	not a
conjunction	$\cap$	$a \cap b$	a and b
disjunction	$\cup$	$a \cup b$	a or b
equivalence	$\equiv$	$a \equiv b$	a is equivalent to b
implication	$\supset$	$a \supset b$	a implies b
	$\subset$	$a \subset b$	b implies a

# Logic Languages

- To use a variable in a proposition we need to preface it with either “for all” or “there exists”
- These are quantifiers
  - For all is represented by an upside-down A
  - There exists is represented by a backwards E
- Predicate calculus consists of the logical connectors we just saw in addition to the two quantifiers above.

# Predicate Calc and proving theorems

- A restricted kind of clausal form is used when propositions are used for resolution. These special kinds of propositions are **Horn clauses**
  - Only 2 forms
  - A single atomic proposition on left side
  - Or
  - Empty left side
- Left side is called the *head*. If left side is not empty the Horn clause is called a *headed Horn clause*.

# Predicate Calc and proving theorems

- An atomic proposition is a functor and a set of parameters in parentheses.
  - e.g. `mother(joanne, jake)`
- A headed Horn clause example:
  - `older(joanne, jake)  $\subset$  mother(joanne, jake)`
  - these state relationships among relations
- A headless Horn clauses: e.g. `mother(joanne, jake)`

# Overview of Logic Programming

- Logical programming is nonprocedural
  - Programs do not state how a result is to be computed, but rather the form of the result
- We don't tell the computer how to get a result. Instead we state the form of the result and let the logic programming “engine” determine how to get that result.
- We provide the relevant information via the predicate calculus and the computer infers the results using resolution.

# Overview of Logic Programming

- Describe the characteristics of a sorted list, not the process of rearranging a list

$\text{sort}(\text{old\_list}, \text{new\_list}) \subset$   
 $\text{permute}(\text{old\_list}, \text{new\_list}) \cap \text{sorted}(\text{new\_list})$

$\text{sorted}(\text{list}) \subset \text{ForAll } j \text{ such that } 1 \leq j < n, \text{list}(j) \leq \text{list}(j+1)$

- This says that if a list is in non-decreasing order, it is sorted. Also, if the `old_list` is a permutation of the `new_list` and the `new_list` is sorted, then that's what it means to sort an `old_list` to be the `new_list`



# Prolog

- Our text uses the Edinburgh Syntax version of Prolog
- **Term**: a constant, variable, or structure
- **Constant**: an atom or an integer
- **Atom**: symbolic value of Prolog
- Atom consists of either:
  - a string of letters, digits, and underscores beginning with a lowercase letter
  - a string of printable ASCII characters delimited by apostrophes

# Prolog

- **Variable**: any string of letters, digits, and underscores beginning with an uppercase letter
- **Instantiation**: binding of a variable to a value
  - Lasts only as long as it takes to satisfy one complete goal
- **Structure**: represents atomic proposition  
functor(parameter list)

# Prolog

- Fact statements
  - Headless Horn clauses

```
student(jonathan) .  
brother(tyler, cj) .
```
- Rule statements
  - Headed Horn clauses
  - Right side: **antecedent** (*if* part)
    - May be single term or conjunction
  - Left side: **consequent** (*then* part)
    - Must be single term
- **Conjunction**: multiple terms separated by logical AND operations (implied)

# Prolog

```
parent(kim,kathy) :- mother(kim,kathy) .
```

- Can use variables (universal objects) to generalize meaning:

```
parent(X,Y) :- mother(X,Y) .
```

```
sibling(X,Y) :- mother(M,X) ,
```

```
    mother(M,Y) ,
```

```
    father(F,X) ,
```

```
    father(F,Y) .
```

# Prolog

- GOAL STATEMENTS
- For theorem proving, a theorem is in the form of a proposition that we want the system to prove or disprove
- Same format as headless Horn

## **student (james)**

- this asks if james is a student, it either fails (james is not a student) or it doesn't (james is indeed a student)
- Conjunctive propositions and propositions with variables are also legal goals

## **father (X, joe)**

- this asks for all fathers of joe, if any, otherwise it fails.

# Prolog

- INFERRNCING
- Queries are called goals
- If a goal is a compound proposition, each of the facts is a subgoal
- To prove a goal is true, the system must find a chain of inference rules and/or facts. For goal Q:

**B :- A**

**C :- B**

...

**Q :- P**

- Process of proving a subgoal is called **matching, satisfying, or resolution**

# Prolog

- POSSIBLE WAYS TO INFER
- Bottom-up resolution, forward chaining
  - Begin with facts and rules of database and attempt to find sequence that leads to goal
- Top-down resolution, backward chaining
  - begin with goal and attempt to find sequence that leads to set of facts in database
- Prolog implementations use **backward chaining**

# Prolog

- POSSIBLE WAYS TO INFER
- When goal has more than one subgoal, can use either
  - *Depth-first search*: find a complete proof for the first subgoal before working on others
  - *Breadth-first search*: work on all subgoals in parallel
- Prolog uses **depth-first search**
  - Can be done with fewer resources so it is preferred for use in Prolog



# Prolog

- Backtrack during inference
- For a goal with multiple subgoals, if fail to show truth of one of subgoals, reconsider previous subgoal to find an alternative solution: **backtracking**
- Begin search where previous search left off
- In worst case, can take lots of time and space because may find all possible proofs to every subgoal

# Prolog

- Recap: When trying to prove a goal, Prolog uses
  - backward chaining (starting at goal) to try to find facts that satisfy it
  - depth first search when satisfying a chain of subgoals
  - backtracking during inference to possibly get an alternative solution to an earlier subgoal

# Prolog

- Prolog also supports integer variables and integer arithmetic
- *is* operator: takes an arithmetic expression as right operand and variable as left operand
- $A \text{ is } B / 10 + C$
- Not the same as an assignment statement
- $B$  &  $C$  must have been instantiated and  $A$  must not have been instantiated for that expression to have  $A$  take on the value of the expression.
- But if  $A$  is instantiated prior to this expression or  $B$  or  $C$  are not instantiated, then the clause is not satisfied and no instantiation can take place.
- Example:  $\text{Sum is Sum} + \text{Number}$ . Is this possible?

# Prolog

```
speed(ford,100) .  
speed(chevy,105) .  
speed(dodge,95) .  
time(ford,20) .  
time(chevy,21) .  
time(dodge,24) .  
distance(X,Y) :- speed(X,Speed) ,  
                  time(X,Time) ,  
                  Y is Speed * Time.
```

- Here, the facts are the first six statements and the last is a rule stating the relationship between time, speed and distance.
- Example query: `distance(chevy, Chevy_Distance)`
- `Chevy_Distance` is instantiated with the value 2205

# Prolog

- TRACE
- Tracing model of execution - four events:
  - Call (beginning of attempt to satisfy goal)
  - Exit (when a goal has been satisfied)
  - Redo (when backtrack occurs)
  - Fail (when goal fails)

# Prolog

`likes(jake, chocolate) .`  
`likes(jake, apricots) .`  
`likes(darcie, licorice) .`  
`likes(darcie, apricots) .`

`trace.`

`likes(jake, X) ,`  
`likes(darcie, X) .`

This is asking, what is X such that  
both jake and darcie like X

Let's trace it on the board.

